

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

A study of the sintering reaction of mullite- $ZrO_2$  ceramics by positron annihilation technology

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1990 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2 4949 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/2/22/015)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.96 The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 22:14

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# A study of the sintering reaction of mullite–ZrO<sub>2</sub> ceramics by positron annihilation technology

Zhang Cai-Guo<sup>†</sup>, Zou Liu-Juan<sup>‡</sup>, Yi Li-Sha<sup>‡</sup> and Wang Hou-Zhi<sup>§</sup>

† Hubei Institute of Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, People's Republic of China

# Department of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan,

Hubei, People's Republic of China

§ Wuhan Iron and Steel University, Wuhan, Hubei, People's Republic of China

Received 30 October 1989, in final form 13 February 1990

Abstract. We measured the positron annihilation average lifetime in the sintered mullite– $ZrO_2$  ceramic material at different ratios A/Z and including  $Cr_2O_3$  and at three temperature values. The experimental results show that the positron lifetime can sensitively reflect the influence of different ratios A/Z and different temperature values on the microscopic structure. According to the theory of microscopic defects and phase-change information supplied by positron lifetimes, some characteristics of the sintering reaction of the mullite– $ZrO_2$  ceramic are explained in this paper. The results were found to be in good agreement with those obtained using other methods.

## 1. Introduction

The area of mullite– $ZrO_2$  ceramics has received widespread attention [1, 2]. There are a variety of methods, such as electron microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, x-ray diffractometry and electron probing [1, 2], that can be used to study the material. In the last decade, positron annihilation experiments have been widely used to investigate crystal lattice defects. The speed of a positron injected into a densified material rapidly reduces during the processes of thermalisation and diffusion until the positron is eventually annihilated. According to the theory of annihilation the annihilation rate is relevant to the electron density in a material [3]. Therefore, the measurement of the positron annihilation characteristics [4] in a solid can provide information concerning the internal structure and concentration of defects. However, more than one electron state [5] is possible in any general ionic compound, including perfect crystals. There are also different positron states in different types of crystal, and there are different positron lifetimes; therefore one can investigate the transition of an ionic compound with the aid of positron annihilation technology. The purpose of work described in this paper is to try to obtain some useful information about the kinetics of the sintering reaction of mullite– $ZrO_2$  at various ratios  $Al_2O_3/ZrO_2 \cdot SiO_2$  (A/Z), using positron annihilation experiments.

## 2. Description of the experiment

Five samples with different A/Z ratios were prepared and are listed in table 1. In addition, 8%  $Cr_2O_3$  was added to sample E. Each sample contains three groups having the sintering temperatures of 1550 °C, 1600 °C and 1650 °C respectively.

Table 1. The A/Z ratio in the different samples.

Sample	А	В	С	D	E
A/Z	0.60	0.82	1.10	11	0.82 (8% Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> added)

Table 2. Porosity of samples and positron lifetime data.

Sam	ple	Sintering temperature (°C)	Porosity (%)	$\tau_1$ (ps)	$\tau_2$ (ps)	$r_3$ (ps)	$I_1$ (%)	I <sub>2</sub> (%)	τ (ps)
A	1	1550	20.56	$34 \pm 1$	$218 \pm 1$	$1438 \pm 20$	$34.2 \pm 0.1$	$59.9 \pm 1.0$	273
	2	1600	16.65	$85 \pm 4$	$279 \pm 3$	$1565 \pm 28$	$36.9 \pm 1.1$	$58.0 \pm 1.0$	227
	3	1650	0.98	$80 \pm 4$	$315 \pm 3$	$1514 \pm 28$	$27.6 \pm 0.7$	$66.6 \pm 0.7$	320
В	1 2 3	1550 1600 1650	24.43 18.05 1.21	$86 \pm 3$ 98 ± 3 94 ± 4	$248 \pm 4$ $269 \pm 5$ $320 \pm 4$	$1559 \pm 25$ $1590 \pm 28$ $1526 \pm 30$	$45.9 \pm 1.5$ $50.8 \pm 1.6$ $33.0 \pm 1.0$	$\begin{array}{l} 48.9 \pm 1.4 \\ 44.0 \pm 1.5 \\ 61.2 \pm 0.9 \end{array}$	251 241 315
С	1	1550	24.43	$106 \pm 3$	$287 \pm 6$	$1667 \pm 33$	$58.9 \pm 1.5$	$36.5 \pm 1.4$	246
	2	1600	22.85	93 ± 3	$268 \pm 4$	$1512 \pm 26$	$48.9 \pm 1.4$	$45.9 \pm 1.3$	244
	3	1650	0.93	82 ± 4	$309 \pm 3$	$1468 \pm 28$	$31.1 \pm 0.8$	$63.2 \pm 0.7$	305
D	1	1550	30.63	$107 \pm 3$	$281 \pm 7$	$1569 \pm 30$	$63.3 \pm 1.7$	$31.9 \pm 1.6$	231
	2	1600	30.98	$104 \pm 2$	$280 \pm 7$	$1551 \pm 29$	$63.0 \pm 1.0$	$32.2 \pm 1.5$	232
	3	1650	31.36	$116 \pm 2$	$305 \pm 9$	$1597 \pm 34$	$68.3 \pm 1.8$	$27.1 \pm 1.5$	236
E	1 2 3	1550 1600 1650	20.99 17.40 3.05	$118 \pm 2$ $124 \pm 3$ $128 \pm 3$	$317 \pm 3$ $325 \pm 5$ $337 \pm 4$	$1685 \pm 33$ $1677 \pm 30$ $1686 \pm 32$	$53.9 \pm 1.5$ $60.6 \pm 1.8$ $40.9 \pm 1.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.3 \pm 1.4 \\ 34.3 \pm 1.5 \\ 54.3 \pm 1.7 \end{array}$	272 275 316

The lifetime spectra were measured on a fast-fast coincidence system with the ORTEC 583 as the selector of energy. The time resolution (FWHM) of the system with a <sup>60</sup>Co source was 280 ps. As a positron source <sup>22</sup>NaCl was sealed in a Mylar foil, with a thickness of about 1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. The measurement time was set at  $7.2 \times 10^3$  s. The number of events was about  $8.0 \times 10^5$ . The lifetime spectra were analysed using the computer program POSITRONFIT EXTENT. Three lifetime components  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$  and the corresponding intensities  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  (not listed in table 2) were obtained. The positron average lifetime is given by

$$\bar{\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_i \tau_i.$$

The results of the experiments are listed in table 2.

#### 3. Analysis and discussion

For the convenience of analysis, the relationships between the positron average lifetime and the sintering temperature are shown in figure 1.

Figure 1 shows the relationship of  $\bar{\tau}$  to T in four series of samples, which have different A/Z ratios and possess the same variation trend. In the temperature interval 1550–1600 °C, it can be seen that  $\bar{\tau}$  decreases gradually with the increase of temperature. The reason for this is that above 1550 °C the microstructure of the samples is changed. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>·ZrO<sub>2</sub> constitute a mixture before the reaction and form the chemical compound mullite. The remainder of the ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are combined in the mullite. This densifying process can cause a decrease in  $\bar{\tau}$ . A new phase is formed about 1600 °C [6]. We can see from table 2 that the porosities of all specimens are obviously decreased. It can be concluded that the reactions are so extreme that the increase in the number of micro-defects makes  $\bar{\tau}$  increase quickly. This may be the reason for the increase in  $\bar{\tau}$  in this temperature region.



Figure 1. The relationship of  $\bar{\tau}$  of each sample group to the change in temperature.

In figure 1, when the A/Z ratio is increased, the change of  $\overline{\tau}$  with T is reduced. This indicates that the variation of the microstructure and the chemical reaction are weakened. The chemical equation is

$$3Al_2O_3 + 2ZrSiO_4 \rightarrow 3Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 + 2ZrO_2$$
.

We suggest that the higher the A/Z ratio, the more  $Al_2O_3$  remaining, and this remaining  $Al_2O_3$  makes the chemical reaction slow down. Lutz [7] point out that the higher the A/Z ratio, the slower the diffusion of the new phase. Pena and Aza also proved [8] that the higher the A/Z ratio is, the higher the agglomerate temperature. Our results are in good agreement with these previous results [7, 8].

 $Cr_2O_3$  was added to sample E, which was different from the other samples. The A/Z ratio in sample E is the same as that in sample B. It can be seen from table 2 that the average positron lifetime is increased because of the presence of  $Cr_2O_3$ . It can also be seen from the Cr-distributed pattern of sample E in figure 2 that  $Cr_2O_3$  becomes mainly a 'corundum foundation' during the sintering process of  $Cr_2O_3$ . We consider the fact that the radii of the  $Cr^{3+}$  are different from those of the Al<sup>3+</sup> to be due to the solid-solute



Figure 2. The Cr-distributed pattern of sample E.

state of  $Cr_2O_3$  in  $Al_2O_3$ , which results in the crystal lattice warping, and the number of defects and  $\bar{\tau}$  increasing. The experiments also show that adding  $Cr_2O_3$  can increase the porosity and has a hindering effect on the sintering reaction.

We can see from table 2 that the higher the temperature, the lower the porosity, and the porosity at 1650 °C reaches a minimum. The lifetime at 1650 °C is higher than that at 1550 °C and 1600 °C for any group of samples with the same A/Z ratio, i.e. the samples with the minimum porosity have the maximum lifetime, which contrasts with the law obtained from the positron annihilation experiments on a normal metal. The reason for this is that the porosity in a ceramic material is macroscopic and the porosity in a metal is microscopic. There is no corresponding relation between  $\bar{\tau}$  and the porosity in ceramics. The positrons are not sensitive to defects that are far larger than the atomic size.

# 4. Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the ceramic material mullite– $ZrO_2$  using positron annihilation technology. The positron lifetime can reveal the influence of the temperature on the sintering reaction and also reflects the reaction speed in the sintering process. The relationship between the different A/Z ratios and the structural phase transformation has been explained. The results obtained from the study of mullite– $ZrO_2$  ceramics are in good agreement with those obtained by other methods.

# Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the referees for their helpful comments on the previous version of this paper.

# References

[2] di Rupo E and Anscon M R 1980 J. Mater. Sci. 15 114

<sup>[1]</sup> Zhang Shu-Hua 1980 Silicate 2 56

- [3] Brandt W and Reinheimer J 1971 Phys. Lett. 35 109
- [4] He Yuanjin 1980 Qinghua University Science Report
- [5] Hinode K 1978 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 45 1958
- [6] Sachs M D and Pask 1982 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 65 65
- [7] Lutz F 1984 Keramische Werkstoffe in System Mullitzirko-Niumdioxid
- [8] Pena P and de Aza S 1984 J. Am. Sci. 19 135